PARKINSON’S DISEASE DIAGNOSTICS USING AI AND NATURAL LANGUAGE KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER
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Abstract

With global life expectancy rising every year, ageing-associated diseases are becoming an increasingly important problem. Very often, successful treatment relies on early diagnosis. In this work, the issue of Parkinson’s disease (PD) diagnostics is tackled. It is particularly important, as there are no certain antemortem methods of diagnosing PD - meaning that the presence of the disease can only be confirmed after the patient’s death. In our work, we propose a non-invasive approach for classification of raw speech recordings for PD recognition using deep learning models. The core of the method is an audio classifier using knowledge transfer from a pretrained natural language model, namely wav2vec 2.0. The model was tested on a group of 38 PD patients and 10 healthy persons above the age of 50. A dataset of speech recordings acquired using a smartphone recorder was constructed and the recordings were labelled as PD/non-PD with the severity of the disease additionally rated using Hoehn-Yahr scale. We then benchmarked the classification performance against baseline methods. Additionally, we show an assessment of human-level performance with neurology professionals.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive disorder of the nervous system that affects parts of the brain responsible for the motor functions. It is estimated that in industrialised societies PD affects about 1% of the population above the age of 60 [15]. Despite its commonness, there is still no antemortem test for PD. Therefore, the diagnosis relies on patient’s history and physical examination. Novel approaches are examined in works such as [3, 5, 10 14].

Previous findings, including [1,8,12], have shown that Parkinson’s disease can be accurately diagnosed using speech recordings and machine learning techniques. In authors’ earlier research covering the presented PD dataset [4] the results indicated a significant signal in speech recordings acquired using a smartphone. In this work, we approach this topic using deep learning audio models. We propose an architecture based on wav2vec 2.0 [13] and we test it in a transfer learning setup. Ultimately, we discuss the possibility of implementing our approach as a remote diagnostics tool and we present a human-level performance assessment consulted with the medical experts in the neurology domain. Our goal was to determine if an audio model that was trained on a large-scale natural language dataset can be transferred and fine-tuned to a downstream task of medical diagnostics. Medical tasks usually suffer from insufficient amount of labelled training data, therefore it would be much beneficial to observe such knowledge transfer.

2. RELATED WORKS

2.1. Wav2vec2.0

As a backbone architecture for our experiments, we use pretrained parts of the wav2vec 2.0 model. It is a raw audio speech recognition transformer model published in [13]. The model is pretrained in an unsupervised manner and was shown to deliver state-of-the-art performance in speech recognition tasks using very limited fine-tuning. In this work, we utilise the pretrained convolutional layers of wav2vec 2.0.
2.2. Explainability in AI

Explainable AI (XAI) plays an important role in medical applications of artificial intelligence. It was shown in [11] that black-box models with wrong explanations encourage distrust in deep learning models, despite their good overall performance. It is therefore important to design models in a way that their predictions can be explained and understood by domain experts, who might not be familiar with machine learning at all. In this work, we discuss possible explanations of the audio models and we present a survey among neurology experts, aiming to assess the human-level performance of speech-based PD diagnostics.

3. PROPOSED METHOD

3.1. Data acquisition

The data was acquired according to the previous research presented in [4,7,9]. The dataset consisted of phonetic test recordings gathered using a mid-range Android smartphone. PD patients were labelled with Hoehn-Yahr ratings by the neurologists at the clinic and the clinical hospital. Healthy persons were recruited from participants above the age of 50, as majority of the PD patients are among the elderly. This helped to mitigate the potential age-related bias. The patients were asked to read out loud a set of vowels (including sustained phonation), syllables, and sentences in Polish language:

- vowels [a, e, i, u] pronounced normally (3x);
- sustained phonation of vowels [a, e, i, u] (3x);
- words {ala, as, ula, ela, igła} (3x);
- sentences (each 3x):
  - Dziś jest ładna pogoda.
  - Jacek mył kota.
  - Lola lubi bal.
  - Rysiek narysował bar.
  - Marysia namalowała dym.

Full recordings were later manually segmented into audio samples containing fragments of speech described above. The total length of the segmented speech samples was approximately 38 minutes in 2141.wav files, giving on average 43 recordings per subject. Exact numbers vary between patients due to the manual quality check process which ruled out incomprehensible and noisy samples.

3.2. Preprocessing and data augmentation

Before entering the pipeline, two-channel smartphone recordings were subtracted from each other for noise cancellation, as described in previous work [4]. The recordings were also peak-normalised to common gain. Taking into account the relatively small number of available audio samples in the dataset (2141) and a need for broad domain generalisation stemming from the usage of a smartphone recorder, it was necessary to strongly augment the dataset. So-called “audiomentations” [6] were used, including: addition of random background noise, addition of random coloured noise, random shift in time domain, random polarity inversion (Figure 1). The augmentations were prepared by addition of background noise. Two noise recordings were used to be randomly sampled into the training set:

- Recording of a busy street with people talking unintelligibly and objects rattling. Duration: 2:21 minutes.
- Recording of street traffic with cars passing by at different speeds. Duration: 2:00 minutes.

Random fragments of background noise were sampled at every iteration and added to the training samples.

The augmentations were prepared by addition of coloured noise. Parameters drawn randomly from:

- signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) [dB] in range [3,30]
- $f_{\text{decay}}$ in range [-2, 2]

The augmentations were prepared by polarity inversion of audio signals too. Applied to the whole training sample. Each of the augmentations was applied with 50% probability, drawn at every iteration for every augmentation separately. The samples were randomly augmented during each iteration of the training and were turned off during testing.

3.3. Model architecture

The model architecture was designed in a sequence-to-one manner (Figure 2). The input to the model was expected to be a single-channel raw audio waveform that was then internally processed into a vector representation and classified into a class label. Wav2vec 2.0 model is by design a sequence-to-sequence transformer, therefore, sequence aggregation had to be performed after the representation was obtained.
Among tested configurations, the best-performing one was a GRU that was using a convolutional feature map from wav2vec as the input. We tested also a full transformer setup, but it failed to converge in every experimental run. Training logs from both of described approaches are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. The last hidden state of the GRU layer was passed on to a linear classifier that generated per-sample predictions.

### Voting inference

The models were trained to classify segmented audio samples. However, the final prediction needs to aggregate all of the single-sample predictions for a given patient. Using an end-to-end Multiple Instance Learning setup [2] was restricted due to hardware limitations. Voting inference was proposed to counteract this obstacle. After the models were trained to classify single samples, their predictions were aggregated for each patient. The final output label was the mode value of single-sample predictions. In the results, we report both the single-sample and aggregated voting performance.

#### 3.5. Experimental setup

In our dataset, we gathered 38 PD patients at different stages of the disease’s development (a detailed Hoehn-Yahr table is presented Table 1) and 10 healthy persons (HP) above the age of 50. After segmentation, the dataset consisted of a total of 2141 audio samples ranging from vowels to full sentences. Audio had to be resampled from original 44.1 kHz sampling rate to 16 kHz, which is the sampling frequency using which the wav2vec backbone was trained [13]. To verify the hypothesis that knowledge from pretrained natural language audio models can be transferred to medical tasks, we trained our models in 3 configurations:

- baseline model with pretrained and frozen convolutional layers (frozen conv)
- baseline model with pretrained convolutional layers and full fine-tuning (full + pretrained)
- baseline model with randomly initialised layers and full training (full + not pretrained)

The pretrained model that we used was Wav2Vec 2.0 base with no fine-tuning. The GRU was a bidirectional unit with 1 hidden layer and hidden size 256. Classifier head consisted of 2 hidden layers with hidden size equal to 128. Each of the configurations was trained in a 5-fold cross-validation setup. The folds were stratified in terms of Hoehn-Yahr score, meaning that each fold contained patients at different stages of PD. The reported metrics were averaged across the folds. Models were trained for 400 epochs with batch size 32 and Adam optimizer with 10e-4 learning rate and betas equal to (0.9, 0.999) on a Nvidia Tesla K40 XL GPU.

#### Table 1. Value counts in target subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Hoehn-Yahr grade</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count (group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. RESULTS

The results below are presented for a setup described in 3.5, unless otherwise noted. We report averaged 5-fold cross-validated test metrics.

In Figure 5 we present the voting inference metric. The measure is equivalent to the fraction of single-sample predictions that were predicted as PD-positive in a given patient. HP is healthy population. Dotted line is the 0.5 votes threshold between...
positive and negative grading. An important observation is that the only misclassified subject is a false negative, which very undesired in a medical classification system. Additional metrics, including false negative rate, are shown in Table 3.

Table 2. Comparison of models’ scores between different training schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Single-sample accuracy</th>
<th>Inferred accuracy</th>
<th>Inferred voting accuracy</th>
<th>Inferred ROC</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frozen conv</td>
<td>89.92%</td>
<td>97.92%</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ pretrained</td>
<td>82.07%</td>
<td>83.33%</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>full + not pretrained</td>
<td>81.11%</td>
<td>83.33%</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of models’ sensitivity and specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Inferred voting</th>
<th>Sensitivity (true positive rate)</th>
<th>Inferred voting</th>
<th>Specificity (true negative rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frozen conv</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>full + pretrained</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>full + not pretrained</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 2, we compare single-sample accuracy to inferred voting accuracy across 3 training setups. Two observations can be drawn from the table:
1. pretraining improves the classification performance;
2. fine-tuning the convolutional part degrades the classification performance.

5. HUMAN-LEVEL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT AND INTERPRETABLET

The aim the performed assessment was to determine if human experts can also pick up some signal in speech recordings solely. A survey was conducted among experts in neurology who did not examine the patients otherwise. In a provided questionnaire, the experts were provided with the recordings sampled from different parts of the phonetic test.

The subsets in the questionnaire consisted of:
- all parts of the phonetic test;
- only full sentences;
- only words and syllables;
- only vowels and sustained phonation.

Six experts took part in the survey. They were asked to label each set of recordings (per patient) with one of the following: no symptoms; mild PD symptoms; advanced PD symptoms; symptoms of a disease other than PD. We provide a detailed table of collected answers in Table Y. Averaged accuracy of the experts predictions on a binary task of PD scores up to 75% when using mode value (similar to the proposed voting inference). We can therefore draw a conclusion that: 1) our model outperforms the human experts in speech classification; 2) there is a significant signal that can be distilled from speech only. This encourages further examination of the model’s explainability, which could provide experts with reliable diagnostic input and promote trust in the proposed AI-based diagnostic tool. We also approach the model in terms of interpretability. We wanted to observe if the feature map created by...
wav2vec convolutional layers can be interpreted in time-feature domain, similar to how spectrograms are analysed in time-frequency domain. We present a sample comparison in figure 6. The spectrogram was calculated with FFT length 1024 and 1/8 window overlap so that the output frequency resolution matched with the number of features in the internal wav2vec representation (512). We observe that there is no interpretable pattern in the feature map, however, the representation is much more evenly distributed than in case of the spectrogram, meaning that it likely yields more information.

![Image of a spectrogram and feature map](image)

**Fig. 6.** Comparison of a spectrogram (left) with a wav2vec feature map (right) for a sentence “dziś jest ładna pogoda” (“the weather is nice today”). Spectrogram visualises the acoustic input in time-frequency domain, while extracted feature map does so in a trainable time-features domain

6. DISCUSSION

In our experiments, we have shown that it is possible to use an audio model trained on natural language to improve the performance on a downstream medical task. Our novel contribution is the construction of a machine learning framework for medical audio classification that takes the advantage of existing speech processing models. We have shown that our implementation obtains very good performance on downstream tasks, scoring up to 97.92% accuracy. In needs to be noted, however, that our approaches towards obtaining accurate multi-class predictions for different stages of the disease were so far unsuccessful, most probably due to insufficient representation of each Hoehn-Yahr subset in the training data. Further studies should focus on constructing a model that would differentiate the subjects in terms of the stage of disease’s development. Probably, a different grading scale could be used, such as UPDRS. Our classifier should also be used with a given uncertainty margin, especially when considering an implementation of a downstream diagnostic tool. Our method can be used efficiently to check how the clinical PD diagnosis of disease’s development. Probably, a different grading scale could be used, such as UPDRS. Our classifier should also be used with a given uncertainty margin, especially when considering an implementation of a downstream diagnostic tool.
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